

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/894,246	05/22/1998	MICHEL PERRICAUDET	EX95001-US	8790	
29693	7590 06/04/2002				
WILEY, REIN & FIELDING, LLP			EXAMINER		
1776 K. STRE			CHEN, SI	CHEN, SHIN LIN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632	2.1	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	22	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

08/894,246

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Shin-Lin Chen

Art Unit **1633**

Perricaudet et al.

	the state of the s
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
herefo ejectio allowar	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. bre, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for name; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in ance with 37 CFR 1.114.
•	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) [The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the maining date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
exte app set i mai	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Dec 31, 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	X they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>The phrase "selected from the group" in claim 67 raise new 112 second issue. The phrae is improper Markush</u> language.The phrase "gp19k protein contains mutations" in claim 82 and 107 raises issue of new matter. The
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in
٦, ك	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) Adequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguements regarding 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph are for the newly added claims which are not entered, therefore, those arguements are not relevant. Applicants arguements regarding 35 U.S.C. 112 first rejection
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 🛚	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) Avill not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: None
	Claim(s) objected to: None
	Claim(s) rejected: 26-64
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a approved or b disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗌	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. 🛚	Other: (continue from "NOTE" above) specification fails to provide sufficient description for such

Application/Control Number: 08/894,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

Continued from Advisory Action:

refer to previous response to Official actions (Paper No. 13 and 16). Those arguments have been

DETAILED ACTION

addressed in the Official actions mailed 12-26-00 (Paper No. 16) and 7-30-01 (Paper No. 19).

Thus, the claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Scott Priebe can be reached on (703) 308-7310. The fax phone number for this group

is (703) 308-4242.

Questions of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Patsy Zimmerman,

whose telephone number is (703) 305-2758.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D

Sest D. Cricke

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Shin-Lin Chen, Ph.D.